

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/761,609	01/21/2004	Ulrich Rosenbaum	DT-6746	3517	
30377	7590 05/02/2005		EXAMINER		
DAVID TO		LOPEZ, MICHELLE			
SIDLEY, AUSTIN, BROWN & WOOD, LLP 787 SEVENTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	, NY 10019-6018		3721		
			DATE MAIL ED. 05/02/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 05/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/761,609	ROSENBAUM ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michelle Lopez	3721			
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication as Reply</li> </ul>	appears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE N - Extense after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a in period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion is to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state period for reply within the set or extended period for	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	0 March 2005.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.				
Application	on Papers					
10) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se rection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment	(s)					
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal C  6) Other:				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on March 10, 2005.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gray (3,842,596). Gray discloses a housing 2,37; an operational mechanism 3,36 located in the housing; at least one latent heat accumulator arranged on the power tool at 7 as shown in Figs. 1 and 3, wherein the latent heat accumulator is arranged in a region of the operational mechanism.

With respect to claim 1, it is deemed that the liquid located at 7 accumulates heat during operation of the operational mechanism by changing from liquid phase to vapor phase, and release the heat after an operational cycle has ended by condensing from the vapor phase back to the liquid phase.

With respect to claim 3, Gray discloses wherein the latent heat accumulator is arranged adjacent to heat-sensitive components 4,30 of the power tool.

With respect to claim 4, Gray discloses wherein the latent heat accumulator comprises a chamber 5,33 and at least one of latent heat storable material at 7 and latent heat storable mixture as shown in col. 4, 51-62.

Art Unit: 3721

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gray (3,842,596) in view of Kreibich et al (4,259, 198) and further in view of Burns et al. (4,341,649).

With respect to claim 5, Gray discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a latent heat storable material and latent heat storable mixture from a group consisting of paraffin.

However, Kreibich et al. teaches latent heat storable material and latent heat storable mixture consisting from a group of paraffin for the purpose of providing a heat storage material used on a latent heat accumulator, wherein the storage material, i.e. paraffin, has a low melting point and a greater heat storage capacity per unit of weight of the storage material. In view of Kreibich, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provide Gray's invention with a latent heat storable material and latent heat storable mixture consisting from a group of paraffin in order to provide a heat storage material used on a latent heat accumulator, wherein the storage material, i.e. paraffin, has a low melting point and a greater heat storage capacity per unit of weight of the storage material.

Also, with respect to claim 5, Gray as modified by Kreibich does not disclose wherein the latent heat storable material and latent heat storable mixture from a group consisting of salts and hydrated salts having a melting temperature between 200 and 1600 C.

However, Burns et al. discloses a latent heat storable material and latent heat storable mixture from a group consisting of salts and hydrated salts having a melting temperature above at or below about 900 C for the purpose of forming a gel at a high energy state. In view of Burns, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provide Gray's invention as modified by Kreibich, and further having a latent heat storable material and latent heat storable mixture from a group consisting of salts and hydrated salts having a melting temperature above at or below about 900 C in order to form a gel at a high energy state.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gray (3,842,596) in view of Bums et a1. (4,341,649).

Gray discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for at least one of latent heat storable material and latent heat storable mixture is sodium acetate.

However, Burns teaches a heat storage material as sodium acetate for the purpose of providing a heat storage material having high heat capacity, thereby forming a gel at a high energy state.

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not deemed persuasive.

Applicant contends that Gray does not disclose a latent heat storage.

However, claim 1 is given its broadest reasonable interpretation, wherein a heat latent accumulator has been interpreted as a material that buffers the heat generated in the power tool during its operation preventing overheating of the power tool during the operational cycle, in which the heat latent accumulator completed changes from a first phase to a second phase as described in the specification page 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,609 Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

latent heat noun

The quantity of heat absorbed or released by a substance undergoing a change of state, such as ice changing to water or water to steam, at constant temperature and pressure. Also called *heat of transformation*.

Therefore, Examiner contends that such a latent heat accumulator is disclosed by Gray, as Gray teaches features of a material being changed from a liquid phase to a vapor phase for the purpose of preventing overheating of the power tool during the operational cycle.

- 6. For the reasons above, the ground of rejections are deemed proper.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company. Electronic version licensed from INSO Corporation; further reproduction and distribution restricted in accordance with the Copyright Law of the United States. All rights reserved.

Art Unit: 3721

### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle Lopez whose telephone number is 571-272-4464. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday: 8:00 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ML